



DCUSA Change Report

DCP 220 - Correction Of Paragraphs 17 and 18 In Schedule 16 (CDCM) To Accurately Reflect Network Levels In The Network Model

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 This document is issued in accordance with Clause 11.20 of the DCUSA and details DCP 220 'Correction Of Paragraphs 17 and 18 In Schedule 16 (CDCM) To Accurately Reflect Network Levels In The Network Model' (Attachment 3).
- 1.2 The voting process for the proposed variation and the timetable of the progression of the Change Proposal (CP) through the DCUSA Change Control Process is set out in this document.
- 1.3 Parties are invited to consider the proposed amendments (Attachment 2) and submit their votes using the form attached as Attachment 1 to dcusa@electralink.co.uk no later than **13 February 2015**.

2 INTENT OF DCP 220 'CORRECTION OF PARAGRAPHS 17 AND 18 IN SCHEDULE 16 (CDCM) TO ACCURATELY REFLECT NETWORK LEVELS IN THE NETWORK MODEL'

- 2.1 DCP 220 has been raised by Northern Powergrid as a Part 2 Matter and seeks to make changes to paragraphs 17 and 18 of DCUSA Schedule 16 as the current list of network levels in paragraphs 17 and 18 is incomplete; it omits the 132kV/HV network level.
- 2.2 This CP looks to amend an incorrectly drafted Clause 18 which assumes that some DNO Parties will use a separate EHV charging methodology within the Common Distribution Charging Methodology (CDCM) and amend the current list of network levels in Clause 17. It is noted that the CDCM has been developed over time and that this inaccuracy may have been inserted as a way forward during an early stage of the CDCM's development which is no longer applicable or consistent with the approved approach captured in Schedule 16.
- 2.3 The revised legal text detailed below addresses this.
- 2.4 The DCUSA Panel agreed that the CP did not require a Working Group and instead should be progressed straight to the Report Phase, in accordance with DCUSA Clause 11.5.

3 PROPOSED LEGAL TEXT

3.1 The draft legal text to Schedule 16 Clause 17 and 18 is set out below and also acts as Attachment 2 to this report:

Correction of paragraphs 17 and 18 in Schedule 16 (CDCM) to accurately reflect network levels in the network model

Amend paragraphs 17 and 18 of Schedule 16 as follows:

17. ~~In all cases, the~~ The network model determines the £/kW/year figure (based on simultaneous maximum load at each network level) corresponding to amortisation and return on capital for assets at the LV circuits, HV/LV, ~~and HV, EHV/HV and EHV~~ network levels, and, in England and Wales, at the 132kV/EHV, 132kV/HV and 132kV network levels.
18. ~~Not Used For DNO Parties that do not rely on a separate EHV charging methodology, the network model also determines these costs at the EHV/HV and EHV network levels, and, in England and Wales, at the 132kV/EHV and 132kV network levels.~~

4 EVALUATION AGAINST THE DCUSA OBJECTIVES

4.1 DCP 220 has been assessed against the DCUSA General Objectives:

- Objective 1¹ – No Impact.
- Objective 2² – No Impact.
- Objective 3³ – No Impact.
- Objective 4⁴ – Better Facilitated
- Objective 5⁵ – No Impact.

4.2 General Objective 4 is better facilitated as the change corrects an error in the Schedule 16 legal text drafting thus providing both clarity and consistency to the DCUSA document.

¹ The development, maintenance and operation by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of an efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution System

² The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity

³ The efficient discharge by each of the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of the obligations imposed upon them by their Distribution Licences.

⁴ The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of this Agreement and the arrangements under it.

⁵ Compliance with the Regulation on Cross-Border Exchange in Electricity and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

5 IMPACT ON GREENHOUSE GAS OMISSIONS

- 5.1 It is not believed that there is any material impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the implementation of this Change Proposal.

6 IMPLEMENTATION

- 6.1 Subject to Party approval, DCP 220 will be implemented in the next DCUSA release following approval by Parties.

7 PANEL RECOMMENDATION

- 7.1 The DCUSA Panel approved the DCP 220 Change Report on 21 January 2015. The timetable for the progression of the CP is set out below:

Activity	Target Date
Change Report Agreed	21 January 2015
Change Report Issued For Voting	23 January 2015
Party Voting Ends	13 February 2015
Change Declaration Issued	17 February 2015
Implementation	Next Release Following Approval

- 7.2 Parties are invited to vote on DCP 220 using the voting form provided as Attachment 1.

8 ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment 1 – DCP 220 Voting Form
- Attachment 2 – DCP 220 Proposed Legal Text
- Attachment 3 – DCP 220 Change Proposal